

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/38	7,832 05/2	6795 BE	ATTY		Trasmington, D.C.	ESCO!	<u> M&G-9895-5-</u>		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTOF	RNEY DOCKET NO.			
QM41/0505									
DANIEL	. A. TYSVER				C	OHEN, I			
BECK & TYSVER,P.L.L.P.						EXAMINER			
1011 FIRST STREET SOUTH #440 HOPKINS MN 55343			1	3	736				
					ART UN	IIT	PAPER NUMBER		
						· · · · · · ·	05/05/98		
1					I L.				
_					DATE MAILED):	_		

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/387,832

Applicant(s)

Beatty et al

Lee S. Cohen

3736

	Lee 3. Conen	3/30	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
X This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle.	· ·	n as to the mer	its is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Faapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ailure to respond within the period	d for response w	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 3-11, 13, and 14	is/are p	pending in the a	pplication.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	ithdrawn from o	onsideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is	s/are allowed.	
	is	s/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	is	s/are objected to).
☐ Claims		ion or election r	equirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED contraction received. Freceived in Application No. (Series Code/Series received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Patenties Summary, PTO-413	objected to by the Examiner. is approved ner. iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) pies of the priority documents have al Number) m the International Bureau (PCT F	ve been _ · Rule 17.2(a)).	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 SEE OFFICE ACTION 	I ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial Number: 08/387,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-11, 13, and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,311,866.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/387,832. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier

Serial Number: 08/387,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.

LEE S. COHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300